#### Cost accounting undercuts lean

Taninecz, George *IndustryWeek;* Oct. 2002; 251, 9; SciTech Premium Collection pg. 73

# DRACTICES

BY GEORGE TANINECZ

## **Cost Accounting Undercuts Lean**

SWITCHING TO SIMPLER ACCOUNTING METHODS GIVES A MORE REALISTIC REFLECTION OF BENEFITS.

EAN MANUFACTURING GENERALLY IS REgarded as a good thing. But when lean fails to integrate accounting, the result can be management reporting that fails to reflect operations improvements and negatively represents lean results. That's not a good thing.

Two finance executives who have helped lead lean organizations say it's possible to bridge the operations and accounting worlds and create lean-reflective financials by getting accounting involved in lean initiatives, replacing standard cost-accounting with simpler management reporting and adopting valued-added accounting practices.

"The first thing that needs to change in terms of a company's movement toward lean is that the finance executive, the CFO or the controller needs to actually, physically, personally participate in the first kaizen events," says Jean Cunningham, vice president and CFO of Louisville, Ky.-based Lantech Inc., a maker of stretch-wrap packaging machines. "They see first-hand that there is real waste being eliminated in the organization—the waste of time, excess inventory [and] excess activities on the shop floor. Once they see and feel that at some visceral, gut level, they're going to want to make sure that the benefit of that activity is recognizable in their financial statements."

In order to make lean recognizable, management reporting needs to move away from cost accounting, which evolved to measure profitability across a range of products and to satisfy generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and the Internal Revenue Service. For example, in a batch-and-queue manufacturer, cost accounting tracks inventory transactions as large batches of material creep from process to process. It identifies the value added to material and attempts to quantify, using time studies and budgeted rates, how much labor and overhead should be absorbed into the financial statement, recording unfavorable variances when production is underutilized. Operations, in response, frequently overproduce, one of the "seven deadly wastes" that lean trys to eliminate.

In contrast, as a company gets lean, it produces only to customer demand. Cells complete *all* product processes, cycle times are minutes and inventory is slashed to the quantities moving within cells and quickly to customers. Complex tracking and valuation mechanisms are no longer necessary.

"Although you have an inventory valuation that needs to take place [for GAAP], you can do it in a much simpler way," says Orest Fiume, recently retired vice president of finance for the Wiremold Co., West Hartford, Conn. The lean wire and cable manufacturer reduced its inventory from four months to less than four weeks over about four years. Tracking transactions isn't needed, says Fiume.



"Once you get to lean, those transactions become waste."

Cost accounting is especially problematic for lean during the financial periods when inventory is being reduced. In a cost-based system, inventory represents, in addition to its material content, "labor and overhead that you incurred to produce the product that you're capitalizing on your balance sheet and deferring the recognition of until some future period when you sell the inventory," points out Fiume. "When you go from four months of inventory to three weeks of inventory, all those deferred costs have to come off the balance sheet. There is only one place for those deferred costs to go, and that's through the profit-and-loss [P&L] statement, generally showing up as unfavorable overhead variances."

This negative impact (see table on Page 74) alarms unknowing executives, who then question lean initiatives. "I think that's why a lot of public companies have a hard time achieving

Send submissions for Best Practices to Editorial Research Director David Drickhamer at ddrickhamer@industryweek.com.

IW OCTOBER 2002 WWW.INDUSTRYWEEK.COM

significant success [with lean], because there is so much emphasis on earnings per share; they don't have the tolerance for this," says Fiume.

To add insult to injury, cost accounting doesn't clearly show the tangible benefits of lean strategies: improved cash flow, freed-up capacity and reduced floor space. "From a management perspective, a standard-cost P&L is virtually useless," adds Fiume. "It's not actionable and gives you information that is old and in

most cases misleading."

Cunningham says lean companies should create simple-to-read statements that identify "natural" expenses, such as labor, scrap and utilities. "I have a financial statement for the company's results for every product line on the first day of the month, every month. I've got current information that people understand how to read that can help the organization know how we're doing and what's coming forward."

Fiume says shareholders and others reading statements need to be educated. Public companies should focus on analysts that cover the company's stock as their first audience because "if they don't understand it, they're not going to be able to report it properly in their analytical reports."

### **Lean Transition: Profits Down, Cash Improved**

With cost accounting, the lean transition this fictitious company undergoes shows profits decreasing as deferred labor and overhead hit the statement. What isn't nearly as apparent is the lean benefit to cash flow, or cash used, during the period.

#### PROFIT: LEAN LOOKS BAD

	Batch & Queue Q1 2002	Lean Transition Q2 2002
Beginning inventory co	st \$10,000,000	\$10,000,000
+ Purchased inventory co	ost \$5,000,000	\$2,500,000
- Ending inventory cost	\$10,000,000	\$7,500,000
= Material costs	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000
+ Labor costs	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
+ Overhead costs	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
= Standard costs / Lean cos	sts \$8,500,000	\$8,500,000
+ Deferred costs from inventory reductions *	\$0	\$1,025,000
= Cost of goods sold	\$8,500,000	\$9,525,000
Sales	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000
- Cost of goods sold	\$8,500,000	\$9,525,000
= Gross profit	\$500,000	(\$525,000)

#### Percent of total costs (material, labor and overhead) multiplied by inventory reduction

#### **CASH USED: LEAN LOOKS GOOD**

=	Cash used	\$8,500,000	\$6,000,000
+	Overhead costs	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
+	Labor costs	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000
	Purchased inventory	\$5,000,000	\$2,500,000

#### **VALUE-ADD ACCOUNTING**

As companies get lean they also reduce material order sizes and increase the frequency of material deliveries. Traditional accounting becomes swamped as it matches packing slips, purchase orders and invoices before paying vendors. Cunningham says accountants instead should focus on three essentials of material procurement: agree on the price to pay vendors for parts, get vendors to deliver parts on time, and then pay vendors. "We found that the cost to control the paper transfer was higher than the cost of the risk that we were incurring by not doing it that way."

Reduced tracking and bill-paying activities opens up value-adding opportunities for accounting, such as analysis and consulting. While Lantech has increased inventory turns from four to 10 and more than doubled sales from the same square footage, its accounting staff has shrunk, says Cunningham, and many outsourced activities, such as tax preparation, were brought in-house.

"We have improved profitability dramatically, we have improved flexibility, we have more new products being developed, and we have more cash than we've ever had," she says. "There is no question in my mind that it's because we not only have become a lean manufacturer, we've become lean accountants, lean order management [and] lean engineers."

74 IW OCTOBER 2002 WWW.INDUSTRYWEEK.COM